UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,893	01/22/2007 Peter Asberg		1505-1103	4738	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/03/201 OMPSON	EXAMINER			
209 Madison St		YANG, NELSON C			
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA	. 22314	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			1641		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/03/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/593,893	ASBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nelson Yang	1641		

	Nelson Yang		1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover she	et with the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	eplies: (1) an amendmal (with appeal fee) in	nent, affidavit, compliance w	or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the ter than SIX MONTHS fr b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b	om the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspor hortened statutory period	nding amount of I for reply origina	the fee. The appropria ally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to a	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or sear v);	ch (see NOTE	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	16 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 	·			,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 39-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections	under appeal	and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Nets the extremed Information Displaceure Statement(s) (1) 	•		condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	r i O/Sb/Uo) Paper No	v(>)		
	/Nelson Yar Primary Exar	•	nit 1641	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's amendment to require direct interaction (as opposed to interaction) would require further consideration and search to determine their patentability over the art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument appears to be that that the interaction between the instant claims and the prior art is fundamentally different in that the prior art teaches a change in fluorescence when formation of a complex between the bioagent and the ligand results in a pulling away of the quencher from the polymer, whereas in the instant invention, the change in signal occurs between a "direct" interaction between the conjugated polymer and the receptor ligand.

The Office notes, however, that the limitation of a "direct" interaction with the reporter molecule was made in the amendment after final, and was not previously found in the claims. However, the Office does not believe that such an amendment would necessarily overcome the rejections of record either, as the prior art, specifically Whitten, also teach a tethered polymer-QTL conjugate as well (para. 0076-0077), wherein the polymer is tethered to the QTL, and would therefore read upon the conjugated polyelectrolyte recited in the claims.

The double patenting rejections have been maintained, as the amendment has not been entered or considered.

For these reasons, the amendment has not been entered, and the rejections have been maintained.